

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
09/656,289 09/06/2000		Nouri E. Hakim	4009.016	9451	
7.	590 11/24/2003	EXAMINER			
Morris E, Col	ien, Esq.	MAI, TRI M			
Suite 217 1122 Coney Isl	and Avenue	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
Brooklyn, NY 11230			3727 DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/656,289		HAKIM, NOURI E.				
		E	xaminer		Art Unit				
			ri M. Mai	<u></u>	3727				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication app a	rs on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION making of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. D) days, a reply wit atutory period will a will, by statute, cau	thin the statutory minimum of the statutory mi	a reply be tim hirty (30) days ONTHS from ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊡ This act	tion is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-50 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11,14-16 and 51-61 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	ion Papers		ioolion roquii omonii						
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accept ction to the dra the correction	wing(s) be held in abey is required if the drawi	vance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR				
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	by the Exam	miler. Note the attach	ied Office	Action of folin 1 10-	102.			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action from the attached detailed of a claim from the application from the Internation of the foreign land the complex of the translation of the foreign land acknowledgment is made of a claim from the foreign land the complex of the	documents he documents he fixed priority nal Bureau (for a list of fixed provised in the first second provised for domestic provised	pave been received. It is a been received in a documents have been received in a documents have been received. It is a certified copies not oriority under 35 U.S. is sentence of the specification has priority under 35 U.S. is a certified copies in a specification has priority under 35 U.S. is a certified to the specification has priority under 35 U.S. is a certified to the specified to the spec	Application received to the re	on No ed in this National Stated. e) (to a provisional apin in an Application Dateived. and/or 121 since a second control of the contr	oplication) ta Sheet. specific			
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Po		5) 🔲 Notice o		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 09/656,289 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for priority of 60/152655 is acknowledged. However, the applications upon which priority is claimed fails to provide adequate support under 35 USC 112 for showing that liquid cannot emerge from the nipple into the surrounding environment.

2. As previously set forth, applicant's claim for priority of applications French Design Patent No. 976, 785, U.S. Design Patent 29/119,049 and US Design Patent 29/088, 360 is also acknowledged. However, the applications upon which priority is claimed fails to provide adequate support under 35 U.S.C. 112 for claims 1-15, 51 and 52 of this application. *Inter alia*, these applications fail to disclose a hole at the top of the nipple cover and the cover member.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 17-50 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected invention as set forth Office Action No. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is confusing what comprises the invention. The claim defines a cover, but the body of the claim positively recites structural elements of a nipple, i.e., the bottom surface of the buttom compresses with the nipple. Is the invention a cover or a cover/nipple combination? Applicant is required to state whether a subcombination or a combination is intended, and amend the claim to clarify the matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 1-11, 14-15, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Shefflin (5,878,898). Shefflin teaches a cover for a nipple having a sealing element 43.

Regarding claim 7, note the dome-shaped cover in Fig. 13.

Regarding claim 10, in the very least, the cap in fig. 16 has a different color than the cover (note the cartoon figure).

Regarding claim 4, note the dome-like bottom surface in Fig. 13.

Regarding claim 6, portion 45 is the flange as set forth.

Regarding claim 7, note the dome-shaped cover in Fig. 13.

Regarding claim 10, in the very least, the cap in fig. 16 has a different color than the cover (note the cartoon figure).

Regarding claim 14, note the upper surface is flush with portion 18 in Fig. 17.

Regarding claim 15, note the compressed nipple as shown in Figs. 10, 12 and 13.

It is noted that Shefflin has priority filing dated Oct 14, 1992.

Furthermore, it is noted that it is an intended use to use the apparatus with a nipple such that the bottom surface contact the nipple. The container in Held can be used with a nipple (one with longer tube) such that the lower surface would compress the nipple as claimed.

6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Held (5150801). Held teaches a cover for a nipple having a sealing element as shown in Figs. 12 and 13.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,289

Art Unit: 3727

Page 4

It is noted that it is an intended use to use the apparatus with a nipple such that the bottom surface contact the nipple. The container in Held can be used with a nipple (one with longer tube) such that the lower surface would compress the nipple as claimed.

7. Claims 1-9, 11-13, 15, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bannister et al. (2986296). Bannister teaches a cover and sealing elements 25, 71 in the embodiment of figures 1-12.

Regarding claim 3, note the dome shaped bottom surface at portion 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Held or Bannister. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sealing icon with a different color. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sealing icon with a different color in either Held or Bannister to provide the desired color for the consumers.
- 9. Claims 16, and 53-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shefflin. Shefflin teaches a cover with the upper surface being flushed with the upper surface as shown in Fig. 17. Furthermore, in Fig. 13, Shefflin teaches a cover with a curvature and the cover compresses the nipple. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the inside surface with a curvature and sized to compress the nipple in Fig 17. to prevent the inside liquid from leaking outside.

Regarding claim 56, Fig. 10 and 13 teaches the sealing flange. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide sealing flange in Fig. 17 to provide a sealing mechanism for the cover.

Art Unit: 3727

Regarding claim 59, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the top with a different color for aesthetic reasons.

Claims 51, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 10. Signorini in view of Shefflin. Signorini teaches a baby body, a nipple and a cover, a cap with recesses to accommodate the threads of the handle as shown in Fig. 5A -7. Signorini meets all claimed limitations except for the top with an orifice on the top. Shefflin teaches that it is known in the art to provide a top with an orifice on the top. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a top with an orifice on the top in Signorini as taught by Shefflin to prevent leaking and/or to access the nipple easily.

With respect to the recess for receiving a portion of the handle in a mating relationship, please note that the cap 12 in Signorini mates with handle 24 as shown in Fig. 6. The term "recess" is broad, the inside surface, where the threads 14 is formed, is considered the recess.

Furthermore, the recesses between the protrusions of the threads 14 are also considered as recesses.

With respect to the limitation that the cap screws onto the baby bottle, the cap 12 is indirectly screwed onto the baby bottle. Claim 51 does not require the cap to be directly screwed onto the baby bottle.

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagi in view of 11. Shefflin, and further in view of Signorini. Yagi meets all claimed limitations except for the sealing element on the top. Either Shefflin or Held teaches that it is known in the art to provide a sealing element on a top of a baby bottle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3727

the art to provide a top having a sealing element in Yagi as taught by either Shefflin or Held to provide added protection.

With respect to the handle, Signorini teaches that it is known in the art to provide a handle, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a handle in Yagi as taught by Signorini to handle the bottle easily.

With respect to the recess for receiving a portion of the handle in a mating relationship, please note that the cap 12 in Signorini mates with handle 24 as shown in Fig. 6. The term "recess" is broad, the inside surface, where the threads 14 is formed, is considered the recess. Furthermore, the recesses between the protrusions of the threads 14 are also considered as recess.

With respect to the limitation that the cap screws onto the baby bottle, the cap 12 is indirectly screwed onto the baby bottle. Claim 51 does not require the cap to be directly screwed onto the baby bottle.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but they are not persuasive.

This response is made in addition to the response as set forth in previous office action.

Applicant argues that the button being a sealing element which is integrated into the cover. It is noted that the term "integrate" is broadly defined. As noted in Fig. 7 of the present application, applicant's definition of the term "integrate" is that the button 20 is removable. This is exactly what is shown in the various figures of Shefflin and Bannister. It is unclear what is exactly different from the button as claimed and the button as shown in Shefflin or Bannister.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,289 Page 7

Art Unit: 3727

Furthermore, applicant asserts that the in Shefflin, the liquid can emerge from the nipple. On the contrary, Shefflin numerously teaches the sealing element compressing and sealing the nipple (col. 2, line 51; col. 4, lines 8-13; col. 4, lines 60-65) similar to that of applicant's.

Furthermore, it is noted that applicant's priority back to the provisional application is invalid since the amended claims add functionalities that was not described in the provisional application. These functionalities are what applicant is relying upon as set forth above.

It is further noted that Bannister teaches a button for sealing a cover, and the button is integrated into the cover as claimed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,289

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (703)308-1038. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (703)308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3579 for regular communications and (703)305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

Tri M. Mai

Examiner Art Unit 3727 Page 8

November 20, 2003